

TOWNSHIP OF LOWER MAKEFIELD  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
MINUTES - JUNE 4, 2001

The regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the Township of Lower Makefield was held in the Municipal Building on June 4, 2001. Chairman Fazzalore called the meeting to order at 7:35 p.m. noting that the Board had been meeting in Executive Session since 6:30 p.m. to discuss personnel and legal matters.

Those present:

Board of Supervisors: Frank Fazzalore, Chairman  
Scott Fegley, Vice Chairman  
Grace Godshalk, Secretary/Treasurer  
Fred Allan, Supervisor  
Wesley Hackman, Supervisor

Others: Terry Fedorchak, Township Manager  
Jeffrey Garton, Township Solicitor  
Duke Doherty, Township Engineer  
Kenneth Coluzzi, Chief of Police

PUBLIC COMMENT

Ms. Sue Herman asked if the Township received a copy of the draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Turnpike Interchange project. Mr. Fegley stated he received a copy of the Executive Summary from the Chamber of Commerce. He stated the actual document is very thick. Mr. Fedorchak stated the Township has also only received the Executive Summary, and he had provided a copy of this to Ms. Herman. Ms. Herman acknowledged that she did receive this. Mr. Fedorchak stated he is attempting to obtain a copy of the entire document. Ms. Herman asked if the Township plans to submit written comments, and Mr. Fedorchak stated they do. Ms. Herman stated they had a residents' meeting last week and asked if a Township official could speak on their behalf at the Public Hearing. She stated the residents also plan to speak at the Hearing. Mr. Fedorchak stated the purpose of the Public Hearing is to present the information to the people. He stated they also will accept comments, and a Township representative could speak although he is not sure it will carry any more weight than the written comments. He stated he feels the written comments will be included as part of the Citizens Environmental Impact Review Statement.

Mr. Fazzalore stated he feels the Townships which are directly involved will carry more weight than Lower Makefield. Ms. Herman stated she feels Lower Makefield Township should indicate that they should have been included in the Impact Study. Mr. Garton stated it is facts and not emotions that will make the most impact. Mr. Fegley agreed to meet with Ms. Herman and other residents at his office to further discuss this matter.

Mr. Bob Strempeck stated he feels the Chief **seeking a Captain is long overdue**. He stated he also feels they should fill the positions from **within the Department**. Mr. Strempeck stated he feels Elm Lowne is a "rose" in Lower Makefield Township, and the Township should give up on the Golf Course instead of Elm Lowne.

APPROVAL OF ORDINANCE NO. 327 AUTHORIZING THE INCURRENCE OF ELECTORAL AND NON-ELECTORAL DEBT BY THE TOWNSHIP

Mr. Chris Monaghan was present. Mr. Garton stated the Board previously gave direction to the Township staff to proceed with re-financing of a prior bond issue and financing new projects. The Ordinance has been prepared and has been advertised. Mr. Garton stated a Public Hearing should be conducted.

Mr. Fazzalore noted this information was not included in the Board's packet. Mr. Fedorchak stated he received the information today by Federal Express. Mr. Hackman stated they did discuss this at the last meeting. Mr. Garton stated in order to incur debt, they need to adopt an Ordinance. He stated nothing has fundamentally changed since the last meeting. Mr. Monahan stated the interest rates were set this morning and while they did go up somewhat since he last met with the Board, the savings on the refunding piece will be \$223,000 over the remaining nine years. Interest rates for the new portion went to 5.01% and the cost difference is \$14,000 over twenty years. Mr. Fazzalore stated he felt they had frozen the rates at the end of May. Mr. Monaghan stated you cannot freeze them until the day you accept the Ordinance. Mr. Garton stated they did lock in the borrowing amount in order to preserve their options. Mr. Monaghan stated Lower Makefield Township is the last one which will obtain funds from the pool for the next three months.

Mr. Allan moved and Mr. Hackman seconded to approve Ordinance No. 327 authorizing the incurrence of electoral and non-electoral debt by the Township.

Mrs. Godshalk stated she feels this should be tabled since it was not advertised and was not on the Agenda. She stated she voted against this the last time it was discussed since there are items included that they are not ready to proceed with. Mr. Garton stated this matter was legally advertised in the Bucks County Courier Times although it may not have appeared on the Board's Agenda.

There was no public comment. The Motion carried with Mrs. Godshalk opposed.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Mr. Allan moved, Mr. Fegley seconded and it was unanimously carried to approve the Minutes of May 21, 2001 as written.

DISCUSSION OF SANDY RUN ROAD BRIDGE

Mr. and Mrs. Shore were present, and Mr. Shore provided a copy of an article from the paper regarding this matter. He stated he agrees that the Bridge does need to be repaired; however, he is concerned with widening, raising, and straightening of the road as presently proposed. He stated there are four neighbors that will be impacted by loss of property and loss of natural beauty. He stated the bridge in Yardley which also crosses Brock Creek was re-built but they still retained the natural beauty. He stated while contracts are in place, he is aware that they can be revised and re-designed. He stated what is now proposed is not what they were led to believe was going to be done.

Mrs. Godshalk stated none of the Board members were pleased with the increase in the cost for the project but they were advised they were required to construct the Bridge in this way because of State guidelines. Mr. Doherty stated there are DEP requirements which must be met. Mr. Fazzalore asked if DEP would be involved if they only repaired the Bridge as opposed to replacing it. Mr. Doherty stated he **does not feel this** would be possible. Mr. Hackman stated he is concerned that they have already awarded the contract. Mr. Doherty stated they awarded the bid but the contract has not been signed.

Mr. Hackman stated he is concerned that they awarded the bid before they understood the extent of the required easements and rights-of-way needed. He stated he would like to know how much right-of-way is required from each of the property owners and where they need temporary easements. Mr. Doherty stated on the Shore property they will require 2,608 square feet of right-of-way and 4,639 square feet of channel easement. Mr. Hackman asked if any Agreements have been reached yet with the property owners, and it was noted they have not. Mr. Doherty stated at the Kenyon property they will need 362 square feet of right-of-way, a storm pipe easement of 1,216 square feet, and 812 square feet for a temporary construction easement. At the Jennifer Jackson property (which includes two parcels) they need 530 square feet of right-of-way, 4,785 square feet for the channel, 687 square feet for sanitary sewers, and a temporary construction easement of 2,925 square feet. At the Kolgan property they will require 314 square feet of right-of-way. Mr. Hackman stated he does not feel they can proceed until they have Agreements with the four property owners or take whatever measures are necessary which may take some time.

Mr. Garton stated since they have not signed the contract, he would like to review the bid documents and get back to the Board of Supervisors whether there are any contractual obligations.

Mr. Fazzalore stated he is concerned with increasing speed in the area if the bridge is replaced as designed. He stated he would like to get a second opinion. Mr. Hackman stated if they are going to review the design of the bridge in some manner, it does not make sense to speak to the residents about obtaining the easements at this time. Mr. Doherty stated he understood that two years ago the Board reviewed all the alternatives and this was the way they decided to proceed. Mr. Fazzalore disagreed. Mr. Fegley stated it may be a good idea to have a second opinion on what should be done. Mr. Allan stated he feels they should also look into the safety of the bridge and see if it is necessary to close it down.

Mr. Doherty stated the present structure only has a waterway opening of 70' and this is less than either of the other two structures in the area. He stated it is in danger of flooding out.

Mr. Shore asked if they could look at the design so that the opening can be increased without impacting the road. He also asked if they could not fix the steel. Mr. Doherty stated the problem is adhering to the DEP regulations needed to meet the requirements for a twenty-five year storm. Mr. Shore asked if they could not repair the bridge so that DEP does not have to get involved, and Mr. Doherty stated he could look into this.

Mr. Frank Ricki, 960 Sandy Run Road, stated he lives on the Jennifer Jackson property and is concerned with the impact on the trees that will need to be taken out as these trees do help keep the soil from eroding away. Mr. Ricki stated stakes were installed and it appears it is going right up to the front door of one of the properties. Mr. Doherty was unaware

who put in the stakes and was asked to look into this matter. Mr. Ricki stated he agrees that they should raise the road somewhat to help eliminate the flooding in the area.

Ms. Kathy Cartwright, Taylorsville Road, asked why they do not deepen the water bed. Mr. Doherty stated the water would not be able to flow properly if they dug deeper in this one section only. He stated the water goes to the Delaware River and they would have to lower the grade all the way to the River.

DISCUSSION AND TABLING OF PROPOSED AGREEMENT BETWEEN  
NEWTOWN TOWNSHIP AND LOWER MAKEFIELD TOWNSHIP REGARDING  
TRAFFIC ISSUES

Mr. Fazzalore stated the Board was provided a copy of the Agreement.

Mr. Fazzalore moved and Mr. Fegley seconded to accept the Agreement with the Stipulation that Newtown and PennDOT agree in writing.

Mr. Hackman stated he asked Mr. Garton about the Brandywine Office Complex currently under construction since they had objected to PennDOT's approval because the only road they had coming in was off Lindenhurst Road and they had agreed they would install a right-in/right-out. Mr. Hackman stated the construction is being done and it does not indicate that there will be any kind of right-in/right-out. Mr. Garton stated he did look into this, and they are proceeding with the final plan from Newtown Township and the permit from PennDOT which does have the right-in/right-out. There is an Appeal on the permit and the Lower Makefield Township and Newtown Township traffic engineers are working on some issues. Once these matters have been resolved, the permit will no longer be under Appeal.

Mr. Fazzalore stated they have a firm understanding as to what is on the map being presented, and he feels they should approve it.

Mr. Hackman noted the Office and Research Option and showed C which is the Heston Farm. He stated he cannot imagine that they will have only one exit out. He stated they have not addressed the other piece which he feels is the Sterling Farm. Mr. Fegley stated that traffic will take access out to Twining Road.

Mr. Fegley asked if the residents were given an opportunity to look at the Agreement, and Ms. Herman stated she was not. She stated she had also asked that there be an open public meeting on this issue. Mr. Fegley stated all public meetings are open meetings.

Mr. Fazzalore stated he is concerned that if they do not proceed with this Agreement, Newtown can do whatever they want.

Mr. Fegley moved to table so that the public has an opportunity to review Mr. Steil's letter and the accompanying maps. Mr. Fegley stated he does not feel they need to have a special meeting on this, and they could consider it at the next Board of Supervisors' meeting.

Mr. Hackman seconded to table and the Motion carried with Mrs. Godshalk opposed.

Mrs. Godshalk stated she wants to know when there will be a public meeting. Mr. Fegley stated the public meeting will be two weeks from tonight. Mrs. Godshalk stated she did

not receive a copy of Roadway D which includes the I-95 Interchange. She stated she feels the next meeting should be advertised and all involved in Lower Makefield and Newtown Township should be included. Mr. Fedorchak was asked to obtain additional maps from Ms. Bush.

#### DISCUSSION OF HILLSIDE AND SPRING LANE WATER LINE

Mr. Doherty stated he was asked to contact Mr. Badey from the Water Company as to how much more it would cost to install the water line between the curbs. Mr. Badey has indicated he will probably need 3,000 tons of stone and it would cost the Township \$24,000 to purchase this based on the Consortium bid. Mr. Doherty noted the letter outlining the three options. Mr. Fazzalore asked if they can require mandatory connections, and Mr. Garton stated they can require this if the property is within a certain number of feet from the line. Mr. Fazzalore stated he does not want the water line outside of the roadway.

Mr. Hackman stated during the Road Tour there was discussion about the impact on the trees. Widening the road and installing curbs will not destroy much underneath, but installing the water line does require cutting tree roots. In order to avoid this and save as many trees as possible they considered putting the water line in the street. Mr. Hackman stated they did previously discuss that those who had failing systems would be required to hook up immediately, but the others could hook up when their properties were sold. Mr. Doherty stated this proposal will not work with regard to the water line in the street. Mr. Badey has indicated fourteen to fifteen people have signed up which will not recover the costs if the line is in the street. They would need five additional homes to sign up in order to recover the costs of putting the line in the road. There is some concern that some of the people who have signed up already would not do so if there were to be additional charges for putting the line in the street.

One woman asked how this will impact Quarry Road. Mr. Doherty stated it will not impact Quarry Road. The woman stated there are stakes on her property on Quarry Road, and Mr. Doherty stated those stakes relate to the sewer line.

Mrs. Godshalk stated she feels Mr. Badey should contact the residents again. Mr. Hackman stated since they need to discuss this matter with the Water Company, he asked that Mr. Badey be invited to attend a future meeting. Mr. Fedorchak stated CKS will be submitting the preliminary design for the sewer portion and they will have preliminary construction costs. They will be going to the Sewer Authority and when all items are ready for discussion they will come back to the Board of Supervisors. Mr. Fedorchak was asked to notify the residents in the area when the matter will be discussed with the Sewer Authority.

#### TABLING DISCUSSION OF AGREEMENT WITH EMERGENCY UNIT RELATED TO ISSUES ASSOCIATED WITH THE BUILDING

Mr. Fegley moved, Mrs. Godshalk seconded and it was unanimously carried to table discussion of the Agreement with the Emergency Unit related to issues associated with the building.

APPROVAL OF INTER-GOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT PERMITTING YARDLEY BOROUGH RESIDENTS TO JOIN LMT COMMUNITY POOL

Mr. Garton stated he has prepared an Agreement consistent with the Board's direction.

Mr. Fegley moved and Mr. Allan seconded to approve the Agreement subject to approval by Yardley Borough.

Mr. Fazzalore stated this is limited to fifty Yardley Borough families. Mrs. Godshalk asked if the Yardley Borough residents who join this year will have any priority for next year. Mr. Garton stated the Agreement states that this will go from year to year unless terminated by either party. Mr. Hackman stated because they constructed an additional pool at the complex, they were able to do away with the waiting list and are able to add additional people. Mr. Allan stated he feels this will be a one year Agreement and if they do not want to do it again next year, they can terminate it.

Motion to approve carried unanimously.

APPROVAL OF RESOLUTION NO. 1085 CREATING POSITIONS OF POLICE CAPTAIN AND POLICE LIEUTENANT

Mr. Garton stated he used the descriptions of the functions provided by the Chief of Police. He stated the appointment of the positions will be based on the recommendation of the Chief but the Board of Supervisors will make the appointments. He stated he also feels there should be a memorandum of understanding with the individuals chosen for these positions so that if these positions are done away with in the future, they would go back to their original rank.

Mr. Fegley moved to approve Resolution No. 1085 creating the positions of Police Captain and Police Lieutenant subject to the understanding that the appointments are based on recommendation by the Chief of Police but the Board of Supervisors makes the appointments and that the Captain and Lieutenant execute a memorandum of understanding so that if those positions are done away with, they would go back to their original rank with no loss of seniority.

Mr. Fazzalore asked if they will be part of the PBA, and Mr. Garton stated they will not.

Mr. Allan seconded.

Mr. Hackman stated the Township did have a Lieutenant position several years ago when Chief Ronaldo was here, although during the last year of his service he did operate without a Lieutenant. He stated that through the search for a new Chief of Police, they did talk in terms of adding back the Lieutenant position, and he is very much in favor of this. He is not in favor of adding two positions of intermediate management and feels it will be very expensive. He would prefer there be one additional management person and see how that works and then consider in the future if they need another management person.

Mr. Fazzalore stated he feels they should follow the recommendation of the Chief at this time. Mrs. Godshalk stated the duties of the Captain and Lieutenant are clearly defined and she feels this is a good organizational set up. Mr. Fegley stated the way Chief Coluzzi has

outlined the duties, he feels it makes sense for a force of this size. Mr. Fedorchak stated the additional costs for next year to follow this procedure would be an additional \$12,000.

Mr. Bob Strempeck stated he feels there should be one Captain and two Lieutenants.

Motion carried with Mr. Hackman opposed.

#### APPROVAL OF PRELIMINARY/FINAL PLAN OF AFTON CREST

Mr. Edward Murphy, attorney, Mr. Doyle, and Mr. Greg Glitzer were present. Mr. Garton outlined possible conditions of approval. Mr. Murphy stated he would like to request Preliminary/Final Approval of the Plan.

Mr. Murphy stated they have agreed to establish a Homeowner's Association to maintain the detention basin as opposed to it being maintained by the two individual homeowners. Mr. Fegley asked why they are not dedicating the detention basin to the Township; and Mr. Murphy stated if they did this, they would lose a lot. Mr. Garton asked if they would consider dedicating it to the Township after the fact, and Mr. Murphy stated they would be willing to consider this. Mr. Hackman asked if the detention basin is included in the lot calculations, and it was noted this is correct. Mr. Garton stated the Ordinance does not require dedication of detention basins. Mr. Hackman stated this appears to be a loophole which needs to be corrected. Mr. Hackman asked the size of the two lots involved excluding the basin. Mr. Glitzer stated each lot is approximately 34,000 square feet. Mr. Hackman stated he would prefer that they go before the Zoning Hearing Board and get approval for smaller than normal lots. There was discussion on the possibility of drawing a lot line so that the Township can own the basin. Mr. Doherty stated those residents would then be requesting variances to install sheds, etc., in their rear yards. There is currently 80 feet from the rear of the house to the basin. The setback is 40 feet. Setback for an accessory building is 10 feet. Mrs. Godshalk stated one disadvantage of the Township owning this basin is that people already complain that the Township does not maintain the basins to their standards, and this basin will be right in their rear yard. She stated if the Homeowners' Association owns it, they can maintain it to their standards. Mr. Allan stated he feels the Homeowners' Association could be formed and if they do not take care of the basin, the Township could maintain it and bill them. Mr. Garton stated they could do this through a Declaration of Restrictions.

Mrs. Godshalk asked about dissolving the business which currently takes place on the property. Mr. Murphy stated the lot with the house will be sold and will be sold in accordance with the Ordinance. Mr. Garton stated any non-conformity would be eliminated and any subsequent use would have to be in conformance with the Ordinance. Mr. Garton suggested an additional note be placed on the Plan clarifying this.

There was further discussion on the detention basin, and Mr. Allan stated he would agree to proceed with the Plan for a Homeowners' Association but they should correct the Ordinance so that this does not happen again. Mr. Fazzalore stated he is still concerned that the Homeowners' Association will not maintain the basin, and this will impact residents downstream. Mr. Allan asked if the applicant would have a problem with going before the Zoning Hearing Board requesting that these two lots be smaller than permitted. Mr. Murphy stated they would not be opposed to this provided it does not hold them up. He stated there is precedence with granting such a request.

Mr. Hackman moved and Mr. Allan seconded to approve the Preliminary/Final Plan for Afton Crest, Plans dated 10/4/00, last revised 5/16/01 subject to:

- 1) Receipt of all permits and approvals by agencies having jurisdiction;
- 2) Compliance with the PCS letter dated 5/31/01;
- 3) Compliance with the CKS letter dated 4/23/01;
- 4) Applicant to pay Fee-In-Lieu of Recreation in accordance with the Ordinance;
- 5) Applicant to comply with Traffic Impact Fee Ordinance;
- 6) Board of Supervisors agrees to grant the two waivers requested, one with regard to driveway easement under Section 178-56C and the other with regard to water depth at the culvert to avoid taking down additional trees;
- 7) Compliance with Skelly & Loy letter dated 5/14/01;
- 8) Applicant to provide funds to the Township to permit the Township amount necessary to overlay the off-site portions of Houston Road from the end of the development frontage to 332;
- 9) Note to be included that any non-conforming use associated with Lot #5 is abandoned and any use in the future must comply in all respects to the Zoning Ordinance;
- 10) Conditioned upon applicant receiving approval from the Zoning Hearing Board to reduce the lot size for the two lots encumbered by the basin and to create setbacks from 40' to 20' rear yard and subdividing off the rear portion of these lots containing the basin and dedicating that to the Township with the normal dedication consequences.

Mr. Murphy agreed to the Conditions of Approval.

Mrs. Godshalk stated each Agreement of Sale for these lots should spell out the Township maintenance policies on detention basins, and Mr. Murphy agreed to include this on the Disclosure Statement.

Motion to approve carried unanimously.

#### UPDATE ON FIRE STATION

Mr. Fedorchak stated the Volunteer Fire Department has scaled down their floor plan eliminating the Rescue Squad. Their architect has fashioned a three-dimensional model of the new Station, and they are ready to come before the Board of Supervisors. He added that in their discussions over the last few months, it is the desire of some of the members of the Volunteer Fire Department to move the Station further south along Dolington Road. To visualize this, Mr. Doherty has put together two site plans and Mr. Fedorchak would like to bring all of this information to the Board at some time in the future. Mr. Fazzalore stated some of this land was bought with Township open space funds and open space does not include buildings. He stated the Fire Department picked the original location, and he does not feel they should move it. Mr. Hackman stated while this may be the final decision, he does not see why they cannot consider this option and possibly have a land swap. Mr. Fegley stated he is concerned with the impact on the homeowners in the area moving the Fire Station down from its previously proposed location. Mrs. Godshalk stated this is a very busy intersection where they now want to re-locate.



UPDATE ON ELM LOWNE BARN AND MOTION REGARDING LIMITED COMMUNITY CENTER DESIGN

Mr. Fedorchak stated they have been advised that they can stabilize the barn for approximately \$12,000. Mr. Fedorchak asked if the Board wants to pursue this during the current year or do it in next year's Budget. Mr. Fegley asked if the barn is in danger of collapsing if they do not do the work immediately, and Mr. Fedorchak stated he will ask this question of the consultant. Mr. Fedorchak stated once the barn is stabilized, they will be able to safely access the barn.

One gentleman stated if the barn is in poor repair, they should take it down and sell the timbers.

Mr. Fegley stated before they spend \$12,000, they should decide on a final plan for the barn. He stated he does not feel they should sell Elm Lowne since it was purchased with open space money. Mr. Hackman stated they must determine how much it would cost to dismember the barn and have someone take it away. He stated there is no plan for the barn and the report they received previously indicated that it would take a lot of money to make it useful. Mr. Fazzalore stated they did investigate how they could make it usable for a Senior Center and they indicated it would cost \$1 million.

Ms. Diane Mayes stated she understands Girl Scouts are on the property. Mrs. Godshalk stated the barn is locked up. Ms. Mayes stated she feels there should be guidelines for renting out the facilities on this property.

Mr. Bob Strempeck asked if the barn is necessary. Mr. Allan stated the barn does provide "flavor" to the facility.

Ms. Virginia Torbert asked the age of the barn, and Mrs. Godshalk stated it is at least one hundred years old. Ms. Torbert asked why they could not turn this into a Senior Center. It was noted this is a possibility. Mr. Fegley stated he is not certain that the Seniors have expressed a desire to be at this location but if they were interested in it, he would consider it. He does not feel, however, they should spend \$12,000 on the barn if they have no plan. Ms. Torbert stated if they were to build a new Senior Center they would spend at least \$2 million. Mrs. Godshalk stated two years ago when they purchased Elm Lowne they considered that the barn could be turned into a very useful building for the community and they did get an estimate that it would cost \$1 million in order to do so.

Mr. Allan stated he would be in favor of razing the barn since barns are very difficult to maintain and heat. He stated they could sell it and use the foundation that is existing and build something on a smaller scale and design it to a new use. He stated they could even use the timbers if they desired for the new construction.

Mr. Hackman stated after reviewing Elm Lowne for a Community Center, it was concluded that they would be better off building a brand new building in the center of town which is in the area of the Township Building. Mr. Fegley stated part of the reason was they did not have a fix on the numbers for a stand-alone Community Center. Mr. Hackman stated he would like to get a proposal from architects for a Senior Center only. Mr. Fegley stated they should also have them look at the Barn as a possible Senior Center.

Mr. Hackman moved and Mr. Fazzalore seconded to have the Township Manager contact a few architects to get proposals to prepare a sketch design for a Senior Center across the street from the Township Building as well as to look at the Elm Lowne barn to see what it would cost to renovate the barn.

Mr. Allan asked if this would be a Senior only Center or if the entire community could have access to it. It was noted the Motion was for a Senior Center only. Mr. Allan stated he would not vote in favor of this. Mr. Hackman stated he is only considering the Senior Center portion of the previously discussed Community Center although it could be open to others when the Seniors were not using it.

Ms. Ada Derr stated the senior citizens have paid taxes longer than many of the Supervisors. She stated the latest census shows that those aged sixty-four and over have grown by 58% in the Township. She stated they deserve better than the Supervisors have been giving them. She stated the Board of Supervisors has spent millions of dollars on Parks. She stated they need a Senior Center and it should be called a Senior Center.

Mrs. Godshalk moved and Mr. Fegley seconded to table. Motion to table carried with Mr. Fazzalore and Mr. Hackman opposed.

Mr. Fegley stated he is concerned with approving a stand-alone Senior Center since he feels it will cost \$2 million to construct and will result in an increase in taxes. He stated he would be in favor of looking at renovations to the Barn and would be willing to consider this if it only involves a minor tax increase of one half mill to a mill. Ms. Derr stated they have a plan for a building that would cost less than \$1 million which is the Bristol Plan. Mr. Fazzalore stated he did not feel they would build this building in Lower Makefield. Ms. Derr stated they could take this basic plan and add to it. Mr. Allan stated they did not include the cost to run sewer and water and lighting.

Mr. Fegley moved and Mrs. Godshalk seconded to look into the renovation of the barn at Elm Lowne.

Mrs. Godshalk stated there are groups and individuals who want to save old barns and they could help raise funds.

Mr. Fegley withdrew his motion and stated he would have no objection to approving Mr. Hackman's previous motion to obtain bids provided no funds were expended on obtaining the bids.

Mr. Hackman moved and Mr. Allan seconded to have the Township Manager contact a few architects to get proposals to prepare a sketch design for a limited Community Center across the street from the Township Building and to look at the Elm Lowne barn to see what it would cost to renovate the barn. Motion carried with Mrs. Godshalk opposed.

#### SUPERVISORS' REPORTS

Mr. Hackman noted they will be interviewing Golf Course architects on June 16.

Mr. Allan thanked the Board for allowing Yardley Borough residents to join the Pool. He reported that the Pool is now open and the heaters are operating. Hours have been extended for Seniors an extra hour per day.

Mrs. Godshalk noted that the TAG group will be meeting on June 18 which is a Supervisors' meeting. Mrs. Godshalk moved, Mr. Allan seconded and it was unanimously carried to join in with TAG and send a letter of interest in participating in the effort. Chief Coluzzi was asked to attend the meeting.

#### DISCUSSION OF JUNE 28 MEETING FOR OFFICIALS OF THE 18 MUNICIPALITIES THROUGH WHICH THE DELAWARE CANAL PASSES

The meeting to be held on June 28 was noted. Mr. Fegley and possibly Mrs. Godshalk will attend.

#### APPROVAL TO CHANGE SPEED LIMIT TO 25 MPH ON QUARRY ROAD

Mrs. Godshalk moved to reduce the speed on Quarry Road to 25 mph.

Chief Coluzzi stated he has prepared a letter in this regard to the Traffic Safety Commission.

Mr. Allan seconded the Motion and the Motion carried unanimously.

#### DISCUSSION OF TRAFFIC SIGNAL AT THE INTERSECTION OF LOWER DOLINGTON ROAD & MAIN STREET

Mr. Fazzalore stated according to the Agreement, Yardley Borough received \$40,000 for the traffic light at the intersection of Lower Dolington Road and Main Street. Mr. Doherty stated Yardley Borough received a Fee-In-Lieu of the traffic light. Mr. Hackman suggested that they contact Yardley Borough and determine the status of this.

#### OTHER BUSINESS

Mr. Fazzalore stated they have been invited to tour the Morrisville Treatment Plan on June 16. It was noted the Board will be attending a different meeting that evening.

#### APPOINTMENTS

Mrs. Godshalk moved, Mr. Allan seconded and it was unanimously carried to appoint John Breuer to the Historic Commission.

June 4, 2001

Board of Supervisors - page 12

There being no further business, Mr. Fazzalore moved, Mr. Fegley seconded and it was unanimously carried to adjourn the meeting at 10:20 p.m.

Respectfully Submitted,

Grace Godshalk, Secretary